



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/542,759

08/16/2005

Gary Mark Coppola

4-32859A

1610

75074

7590

04/09/2009

NOVARTIS INSTITUTES FOR BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH, INC.
220 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

EXAMINER

MABRY, JOHN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/542,759	Applicant(s) COPPOLA ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN MABRY	Art Unit 1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN MABRY. (3) Rita Desai.

(2) Mark W. Milstead. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7-9, 12, 13, 18-20, 22 and 25-33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ogawa et al (WO 94/03331) and Masumoto et al (WO 03/029199).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (1) 102(b) as anticipated by WO '113 has not been overcome, (2) claims have not been amended to elected group and (3) 103 obviousness rejection over WO '199 - Examiner will reconsider grounds of rejections (may be withdrawn). Additionally, all issues as set forth in Final Rejection dated 11/04/08 have not been addressed in After Final amended claims (dated 1/21/09) nor Interview dated (4/06/09).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John Mabry/ Examiner Art Unit 1625	/Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625
---	--